

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LAKESIDE NORTH CONDOMINIUM
ASSOCIATION, a Washington Non-Profit
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, an
Illinois Corporation; and DOE INSURANCE
COMPANIES 1-10,

Defendants.

NO.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
RELIEF, BREACH OF CONTRACT, BAD
FAITH, CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
VIOLATIONS, AND DAMAGES

JURY DEMAND

The Lakeside North Condominium Association (the “Association”) alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This is an action for declaratory judgment (including money damages), breach of contract, bad faith, Consumer Protection Act (“CPA”) violations, and money damages seeking:

(A) A declaration of the rights, duties and liabilities of the parties with respect to certain controverted issues under Allstate Insurance Company’s (“Allstate”) policies issued to the Association. The Association is seeking a ruling that each of Allstate’s policies provide coverage for hidden damage at the Lakeside North condominium complex and that Allstate is liable for money damages for the cost of repairing hidden damage at the Lakeside North condominium complex.

(B) Attorneys’ fees (including expert witness fees) and costs.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF,
BREACH OF CONTRACT, BAD FAITH,
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
VIOLATIONS, AND DAMAGES - 1

STEIN, SUDWEEKS & STEIN, PLLC
16400 SOUTHCENTER PARKWAY., SUITE 410
TUKWILA, WA 98188
PHONE 206.388.0660 FAX 206.286.2660

(C) Money damages for the cost of repairing covered damage, bad faith, breach of contract, and violations of the CPA.

(D) Any other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

II. PARTIES AND INSURANCE CONTRACTS

2.1 The Association. The Lakeside North Condominium Association is an association located in Seattle, Washington. The Lakeside North Condominium a single four-story building with fifty-three (53) residential units. The Lakeside North Condominium is located in Seattle, Washington.

2.2 Allstate. Allstate Insurance Company (“Allstate”) is domiciled in Illinois with its principal place of business in Northbrook, Illinois. Allstate issued insurance policies to the Association including but not limited to Policy No. 010050875659 (in effect from at least June 23, 1983 to April 9, 2002). The Association is seeking coverage against all Allstate policies issued to the Association.

2.3 Doe Insurance Companies 1–10. Doe Insurance Companies 1–10 are currently unidentified entities who, on information and belief, sold insurance policies to the Association that identify the Lakeside North Condominium complex as covered property.

2.4 Lakeside North Insurers. Allstate and Doe Insurance Companies 1–10 shall be collectively referred to as the “Lakeside North Insurers.”

2.5 Lakeside North Policies. The policies issued to the Association by the Lakeside North Insurers shall be collectively referred to as the “Lakeside North Policies.”

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3.1 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity jurisdiction) as the parties are completely diverse in citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

3.2 Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as the Lakeside North Insurers marketed and sold insurance to the Association in King County; a substantial part of the

events giving rise to the claim, including the breach of contract, occurred in King County; and the insured condominium building is located in King County.

IV. FACTS

4.1 Incorporation by Reference. The Association re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1.1 through 3.2, above, as if fully set forth herein.

4.2 Tender to Allstate. On August 6, 2020, the Association tendered its claim to Allstate for hidden recently discovered at the Lakeside North condominium complex.

4.3 Joint Intrusive Investigation. The Association hired an investigative firm, Evolution Architecture (“Evolution”), to investigate the extent of damage at the Lakeside North condominium complex. Evolution in conjunction with the Association’s historical insurers, including Allstate, performed a Joint Intrusive Investigation at the Lakeside North condominium complex. The Association understands from Evolution that the investigation revealed extensive hidden damage to sheathing, framing, and weather-resistive barrier throughout the property attributable to long term, incremental and progressive water damage. The Association’s further understanding from its experts that the cost to repair these hidden damages exceeds the jurisdictional limit of \$75,000.

4.4 Allstate’s Denial of the Association’s Claim. On April 6, 2022, Allstate unreasonably denied coverage for the Association’s insurance claim.

V. FIRST CLAIM AGAINST THE LAKESIDE NORTH INSURERS: DECLARATORY RELIEF THAT THE LAKESIDE NORTH POLICIES PROVIDE COVERAGE

5.1 Incorporation by Reference. The Association re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1.1 through 4.4, above, as if fully set forth herein.

5.2 Declaratory Relief. The Association seeks declaratory relief from the Court in the form of determinations regarding the following disputed issues:

1 (A) The Lakeside North Policies cover the hidden damage to exterior building
2 components including but not limited to exterior sheathing and framing at the Lakeside North
3 condominium complex.

4 (B) No exclusions, conditions, or limitations bar coverage under the Lakeside North
5 Policies.

6 (C) The loss or damage to Lakeside North condominium complex was incremental and
7 progressive. New damage commenced during each year of the Lakeside North Policies.

8 (D) As a result, the Lakeside North Policies cover the cost of investigating and
9 repairing the hidden damage to exterior building components including but not limited to exterior
10 sheathing and framing at the Lakeside North condominium complex.

11 **VI. SECOND CLAIM: AGAINST ALLSTATE FOR**
BREACH OF CONTRACT

12 6.1 Incorporation. The Association re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of
13 paragraphs 1.1 through 5.2, above, as if fully set forth herein.

14 6.2 Allstate has contractual duties under the terms of its policy and/or policies to pay the cost
15 of investigating and repairing the covered damage to the Lakeside North condominium complex.

16 6.3 Allstate breached its contractual duties by wrongfully denying coverage on April 6, 2022,
17 and by failing to pay the cost of repairing the covered damage to the Lakeside North
18 condominium complex.

19 6.4 As a direct and proximate result of Allstate's breach of its contractual duties the
20 Association has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

21 6.5 Additional Damages. As a direct and proximate result of Allstate's breach of its
22 contractual duties, the Association has been forced to incur attorneys' fees, expert costs,
23 investigation costs and other expenses in order to prosecute this action, the sole purpose of which
24 is to obtain the benefits of the Association's insurance contracts.

VII. THIRD CLAIM: AGAINST ALLSTATE FOR INSURANCE BAD FAITH

7.1 Incorporation by Reference. The Association re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1.1 through 6.5, above, as if fully set forth herein.

7.2 The business of insurance is one affected by the public interest, requiring that all persons be actuated by good faith, abstain from deception, and practice honesty and equity in all insurance matters. RCW 48.01.030. An insurer has a duty to act in good faith. This duty requires an insurer to deal fairly with its insured. The insurer must give equal consideration to its insured's interest and its own interest and must not engage in any action that demonstrates a greater concern for its own financial interest than its insured's financial risk. An insurer who does not deal fairly with its insurer, or who does not give equal consideration to its insured's interest, fails to act in good faith.

7.3 The duty of good faith requires an insurer to conduct a reasonable investigation before refusing to pay a claim submitted by its insured. An insurer must also have a reasonable justification before refusing to pay a claim. An insurer who refuses to pay a claim, without conducting a reasonable investigation or without having a reasonable justification, fails to act in good faith.

7.4 Allstate had a duty to investigate, evaluate, and decide the Association's claim in good faith. Allstate breached its duty by unreasonably investigating, evaluating, and/or denying the claim by, among other things: (1) failing to acknowledge that weather conditions such as rain or wind-driven rain were one of the causes of the hidden damage at the Lakeside North condominium complex; (2) failing to acknowledge that weather conditions such as rain and wind-driven rain are covered causes of loss under its policy(s); (3) failing to acknowledge that there is coverage under its policy(s) when damage results from a concurrent combination of rain and bad construction or wear and tear; (4) failing to acknowledge that there is coverage under the resulting loss clause in its policy(s); and (5) failing to define terms in the Allstate policy pursuant to the plain meaning of the terms. In addition Allstate unreasonably delayed in coming to a coverage determination.

7.5 A violation, if any, of one or more of the Washington claim handling standards set forth below is a breach of the duty of good faith, an unfair method of competition, an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance, and a breach of the insurance contract. Allstate's conduct violated Washington claim handling standards:

- Which require it to fully disclose all pertinent coverages.
- Which prohibit misrepresentations regarding relevant facts (e.g. the cause of damages) or coverage.
- Which prohibit the practice of refusing to pay claims without conducting a reasonable investigation.
- Which require Allstate to provide a reasonable explanation of the relevant facts, law, and policy language and how its policy language supported a denial of the Association's claim.
- Which require Allstate to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims.

7.6 Allstate's actions and omissions, including but not limited to its denial of coverage, were unreasonable, unfounded, and frivolous under the circumstances and constitute a breach of Allstate's duty of good faith. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches, the Association has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

VIII. FOURTH CLAIM: AGAINST ALLSTATE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

8.1 Incorporation by Reference. The Association re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1.1 through 7.6, above, as if fully set forth herein.

8.2 Violations of claims handling standards provided under the WAC are per se CPA violations. On information and belief, the conduct of Allstate was deceptive, impacted the public, and had the capacity to deceive. The Association is a consumer. As a direct and proximate result of Allstate's violations, the Association has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. The Association is

entitled to damages, CPA penalties of up to \$25,000.00 per violation, and attorneys' fees under the CPA.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Association prays for judgment as follows:

9.1 Declaratory Judgment Regarding Coverage. A declaratory judgment that the Lakeside North Policies provide coverage as described herein.

9.2 Money Damages. For money damages against each of the Lakeside North Insurers for the cost of investigating and repairing hidden damage at the Lakeside North condominium complex in an amount to be proven at trial, as well as money damages against Allstate for breach of the duty of good faith in an amount to be proven at trial.

9.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs of Suit. For reasonable attorneys' fees (including expert fees). *See Olympic Steamship Co. v. Centennial Ins. Co.*, 117 Wn.2d 37, 811 P.2d 673 (1991), and RCW 48.30.015.

9.4 CPA Penalties. For CPA penalties against Allstate of up to \$25,000 per violation.

9.5 Other Relief. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

X DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

10.1 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Association demands trial by jury in this action of all issues so triable.

Dates the 23rd day of May, 2022.

STEIN, SUDWEEKS & STEIN, PLLC

/s/ Daniel J. Stein

/s/ Justin D. Sudweeks

/s/ Jerry H. Stein

/s/ Cortney M. Feniello

Daniel J. Stein, WSBA #48739

Justin D. Sudweeks, WSBA #28755

Jerry H. Stein, WSBA #27721

Cortney M. Feniello, WSBA #57352

16400 Southcenter Parkway, Suite 410

Tukwila, WA 98188

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF,
BREACH OF CONTRACT, BAD FAITH,
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
VIOLATIONS, AND DAMAGES - 7

STEIN, SUDWEEKS & STEIN, PLLC
16400 SOUTHCENTER PARKWAY., SUITE 410
TUKWILA, WA 98188
PHONE 206.388.0660 FAX 206.286.2660

Email: dstein@condodefects.com

Email: justin@condodefects.com

Email: jstein@condodefects.com

Email: cfeniello@condodefects.com

Telephone: (206) 388-0660

Facsimile: (206) 286-2660

Attorneys for Plaintiff